1 The Instruction Addressed to Kagemni

\[ \text{wd3 snw dw hz mti} \]

\[ \text{wn hn n grw wsh st nt hr} \]

\[ \text{m mdww} \]

\[ \text{spd dsw r th mitn} \]

\[ \text{nn hn ni is hr zp.f} \]

\[ \text{ir hms.k hn}^* 5^3 t msd t mrr.k} \]

\[ \text{3t pw ktt(t) d3r-ib} \]

\[ \text{hww pw 3f iw db5.t(w) im} \]

\[ \text{iw ikn n mw 5hm.f ibt} \]

---

1 The grammar of the first seven lines (Papyrus Prisse I, 1-7) is discussed in (VII.A) of my Introduction to Middle Egyptian through Ancient Writings, Linus Learning, New York, 2013.

2 The line numbers follow Gardiner’s Plate XIV of Papyrus Prisse (Bibliothèque Nationale de France, Paris) pages I-II in JEA, 32 (1946) 71-74.

3 For more compact arrangement, the horizontal scroll sign is sometimes replaced by its vertical variant.

4 For better readability, ambiguous extended arm signs are sometimes specified by their exact variant.
Following Gardiner, ibid, the text is emended at suspected scribal errors.

iw.f pw
The Instruction Addressed to Kagemni

Vocabulary

wd3  prosperous

sndw  submissive, timid, fearful, respectful

hzì  bless, praise

mtì  modest, regular, correct, straightforward, precise, trustworthy, loyal

wn  open

hn  tent

gr  silent, still, (noun) silence

wšb  broad, wide

st  seat, place, throne

hr(w)  be quiet, at peace

mdw  (verb) speak, talk, (noun) speech

spd  sharp

ds  knife

thì  go astray, stray, transgress, overstep (path)

mtm  path

hm  rush, hurry, attack

zp  time, occasion, event, deed, misdeed, fault

hmsì  sit, sit down

ś3t  multitude of persons, company of guests

msdi  dislike, hate

t  bread

mri  love, desire, want

št  moment, instant

ktì  small, a trifle

d3r  control temper

d3r-ib  self-denial, restraint, self-control

ib  heart, mind

hww  base(ness), wrongdoing

3f  gluttony, intemperance, voracity; with the determinative 3f  glutton, gourmand, gastronome, chowhound

dbc  point the finger (m at), reproach

ikn  cup, jar

mw  water

hm  extinguish (fire)

hm  quench (thirst)

ibi  be thirsty

mh  fill, be full of

mhw r  mouthful

r  mouth, speech, spell

šww  herbs, edible vegetable

smn  make firm, strengthen
**nfr** beautiful, good, fine

**idn** stand for, replace, serve instead of

**nh(i) n ktt** a mere trifle

**wr** great, much, many

**hz** vile

**hnt** be greedy, covetous

**ht** belly, body

**swʒ** pass

**tr** time

**smh** forget

**wstn** roam, travel freely

**wnm** eat

**3hf** fever of appetite, greed

**swr/swi** drink

**thi** be drunk

**szp** take, accept

**htp** be content, pleased, happy, peaceful

**3d** be savage, aggressive, angry

**iwf** flesh, meat

**gs** side

**r-gs** beside, in the presence of

**skn** be greedy

**win** thrust aside, set aside, push away, refuse

**k3** then (particle)

**ssf** soothe

**šwi** empty, free (m of)

**srh** reproach, blame

**t** bread

**shm** (adj.) power, (verb) have power, give power (n to)

**mdt** word

**mdw** (verb) speak, talk (noun) speech

**im3 (i3m)** kind, gentle (n to)

**k3hs** be harsh, overbearing

**mwt** mother

**mrw** servants

**pri** go up, emerge

**rn** name

**gr** silent, still, silence

**nis** call, summon

**ɛ3** great, big

**hpš** strength, power

**d3mw** young men

**z3w** guard, ward off, beware lest

**itn** oppose, be in opposition

**rh** learn, know

**hpr** happen, evolve, occur

**iri** do, make
ntr god

hft in front of, corresponding to, when, accordingly

hsf punish

t3t vizier

hrd child

ht wake, aftermath

rk know, perceive, gain full knowledge of, be wise

shr conduct, nature, plan, counsel

r(m)t people

bit character, qualities

ii come, return

dr (verb) end up; (preposition) since; (noun) limit

dd say, tell, speak

ntt that

zh3 write, writing

sfdw papyrus-roll, register

sdm hear, listen

mi (preposition) like

zni surpass, transgress

hiw wealth, increase, excess, surplus

ns3 ordain, order, predestine, assign, settle

htt belly

sdi read aloud, recite

hti thing, property

bt land

hm incarnation, majesty

(n)swt bit(i) King of the Upper and Lower Egypt

mini moor, die

s3h3 install, raise up, set up

nswt king

mnh beneficial, functional, worthwhile

(i)m(i)-r overseer, steward

nwt town

wifi come, return
The Instruction Addressed to Kagemni

**Grammar Points**

\[ wd^3 snDw \ hz \ mt(i) \]

The author, the father of Kagemni, conjectured\(^1\) to be the famous sage Kaires,\(^2\) shares his wisdom with his children. The last portion of the text states that Kagemni was a vizier of Sneferu (2613-2589 BC), the first king of the 4\(^{th}\) Dynasty.

It has been suggested\(^3\) but not universally accepted that Kagemni may have been another 6\(^{th}\) Dynasty vizier of king Teti. The elaborate mastaba complex of this latter vizier Kagemni-Memi is well-documented.\(^4\)

The text starts\(^5\) with an adjectival sentence whose subject is \( snDw \) “fearful, submissive, timid.” According to Gardiner (§369)\(^6\) and Hoch (§121) \( snDw \) is a perfective active participle, lit. “the one who fears” which in masculine singular has no special ending (\( snD \)) but sometimes receives the flexional \( w \) or \( y \) ending. Borghouts (74.c) calls these endings ‘substantival markings’ and the participle \( sDmw \) substantivized. This simply means that the participle functions as a noun. Allen\(^7\) however views \( snDw \) not as a participle but a noun, a so-called noun of agent, derived from the verb \( \tilde{s}nD \) “become afraid.” According to this interpretation, masculine singular active participles cannot end

---


\(^3\) Parkinson, op.cit.

\(^4\) See the web site http://www.osirisnet.net/mastabas/kagemni/e_kagemni_01.htm.

\(^5\) The beginning portion of the text (of unknown length) is lost. Sharff and others assumed that that The Instruction Addressed to Kagemni has similar structure to the Instruction of Ptahhotep (that follows in the Papyrus Prisse). Based on similarities, there are various conjectures as to which parts are missing; see Gardiner, ibid.


\(^7\) Allen (23.3-5).
The question is a bit academic since a noun of agent is usually translated in English as a participle. In this and subsequent texts, these kind of participles/nouns of agent will occur several times. For example, in the Semna/Uronarti Stela of Senwosret III, \( \text{\textit{3d}} \) and \( \text{\textit{zhm}} \) are derived from the adjective-verbs \( \text{\textit{3d}} \) “aggressive, angry” and \( \text{\textit{zhm}} \) “hasty, impetuous.” Further examples are \( \text{\textit{sdm}} \) “the one who listens, the listener” from \( \text{\textit{sdm}} \) “hear,” \( \text{\textit{whm}} \), “the one who reports, the herald” from \( \text{\textit{whm}} \) “repeat,” and \( \text{\textit{smsw}} \), “follower, retainer” from \( \text{\textit{smsi}} \) “follow.”

In the second sentence the passive of the verb \( \text{\textit{hzi}} \) is employed whose subject \( \text{\textit{mti}} \) has many meanings. According to Gardiner, a good translation of \( \text{\textit{mti}} \) should have a touch of Confucian moderation and balance.

One of the primary motivations for Gardiner’s notes was to render Scharff’s previous German translation into English, and to provide a different interpretation of the text. In doing so, he emphasizes that “the sage is preaching that a timid, retiring, taciturn nature finds the road open to free, unimpeded life.” In contrast, Scharff uses the literal translation of \( \text{\textit{snDw}} \) as a participle “the one who fears/the fearful one” (“der Ehrfürchtige”) and also renders \( \text{\textit{mti}} \) as “trustworthy” (“der Zuverlässige”) “characterizing the truly virtuous man, whose chief merit is his ability to keep silence.”

\[ \text{\textit{wn}} \ \text{\textit{hn}} \ \text{\textit{n}} \ \text{\textit{grw}} \ \text{\textit{wsh}} \ \text{\textit{st}} \ \text{\textit{nt}} \ \text{\textit{hr}} \]

The adjectival sentences continue. Sethe takes \( \text{n} \) in the first clause as a preposition “to/for” (emphasizing the reward of a quiet and modest person): “open (is) the tent to/for the silent.” Although Federn takes note of this, the parallel construction of the two clauses

\[ \text{\textit{wn Xn n grw wsx st nt hr}} \]

---

8 The principal reference for The Instruction Addressed to Kagemni is A. H. Gardiner’s article: JEA, 32 (1946) 71-74. Note also W. Federn’s additional comments in JEA, 36 (1950) 48-50, and Gardiner’s own response in JEA, 37 (1951) 109-110. For more references to translations, commentaries etc., see also the Bibliography and Abbreviations.
9 A. Scharff, ZÄS, 77 (1941-42) 13-21.
11 Scharff, ibid.
12 Gardiner, op.cit.
indicates that \( n \) is more likely a genitival adjective: “open is the tent of the silent”.\(^{14}\) The latter is adopted by Gardiner and also by Lichtheim (I).\(^{15}\)

Finally, Gardiner also notes that \( \text{hr(w)} \) (with the seated man as a determinative) can also mean “contented (one).”

\[ m \text{ mdww} \]

Conversation manners are emphasized here in a simple negation of the imperative; the negative word \( m \) “do not” is followed by the negatival complement of the verb \( \text{mdwi} \) “speak.” Here contrary to norm the \( w \) ending is spelled out.

Note Lichtheim’s translation: “Do not chatter!”

\[ \text{spd dsw} \ r \text{ th mitn} \]

The violent nature of the adjectival sentence “the knives are sharp” and the negative meaning of the active participle \( \text{th} \) “he who transgresses” of the verb \( \text{thi} \) “transgress, go astray” clearly indicate that the preposition \( r \) here should be understood as “against.”

Stern warning is issued for \( \text{th mitn} \) “he who transgresses from the road,” a phrase that Lichtheim simplifies as “the blunderer.”

\[ \text{nn Hn ni is Hr zp.f} \]

This sentence is problematic. First, the negative particle \( nn \) followed by the infinitive negates existence, so that the first part can be translated as “there is no hurrying/without hurry.” Second, the negative particle \( ni \) and the particle \( is \) together negate contrastive words or phrases and thereby can be translated as “and/but not” or “except.” The ambiguity comes from two sources: \( nn \text{ Hn} \) can be considered as the start of a new sentence,\(^{16}\) and it can also be viewed as referring to the knives of the previous sentence.\(^{17}\)

Unfortunately, the many possible meanings of \( zp \) can accommodate both views.

---

\(^{14}\) Federn, op.cit.


\(^{16}\) Gardiner, op.cit, and Allen (11.4, Exercise 11.27, 14.15).

\(^{17}\) Scharff, Federn, Lichtheim, op.cit.
In the first rendering, Gardiner takes the principal meaning of \( zp \) as “(proper) time,” and henceforth translates the sentence as: “There is no speedy advance except at its proper time.” Allen’s translation is closely related to this: “There is no hurrying except at its proper time”.

In the second rendering \( zp \) means “misdeed, fault,” for example, “no fault of mine came out.” With this choice, following Scharff and Federn, Lichtheim’s translation is: “without hurry except when he faults.” According to this, the knives are waiting to strike for the moment when a misdeed is committed.

The topic now turns to table manners in a typical conditional sentence. The protasis here (and typically) starts with \( ir \) followed by the subjunctive \( sDm.f \) of the verb \( hmsi \). Note that the determinative of \( hmsi \) “sit” is \( \text{msi} \), but in a playful mood, here and a number of times below the scribe uses the determinative \( msi \) “give birth.” The apodosis contains the subjunctive of \( msdi \). The suffix pronoun \( .k \) is inserted here; see also the similar constructions in lines I.7-8 and I.8-9 (where the suffix pronouns are written out).

As an interesting contrast, the object of the hatred is the imperfective relative form \( mrr.k \) “what you desire” of the verb \( mri \). Finally, \( t \) “bread” can be promoted to “food.”

This is an A \( pw \) B nominal sentence, usually translated as “B is A.” Here, instead of “is,” “takes (only)” is better suited for the meaning, i.e. it takes only a brief moment to control one’s desires. In general, \( pw \) stands as close to the beginning of the sentence as possible.

---

18 [Urk. VI, 151, 2].
19 This is the prospective \( sdm.f \) of the Standard Theory, Hoch (§137-138). It corresponds to Allen’s subjunctive. See also A. Loprieno, Ancient Egyptian, Cambridge (2000), 4.6.3.2(c), p. 81.
20 Hoch (§129) and Allen (7.10).
and is placed “inside” a noun phrase with separable parts such as .QRectt(t). This rule does not apply to bound constructions such as direct genitives.

Finally, note that .QRect is a feminine noun and ktt is masculine, and because of the double t the extra feminine t ending is usually omitted.

\[hww \text{ pw } 3f^s \text{ iw } db^s.t(w) \text{ im}(f)\]

In the A \text{ pw } B nominal clause B serves as the preposed object of the second (main) clause (introduced by iw). The circumstantial/imperfective \text{ sdm.f} \text{ of the verb } db^s is used here since the whole sentence expresses a general statement well suited to this verb form. The translation should use English present tense. The prepositional adverb im has omitted pronominal object (which should be \text{ f}), and it refers to 3f^s.

\[iw \text{ ikn } n \text{ mw } 3hm.f \text{ ibt}\]

This is a typical circumstantial \text{ iw.f sdm.f/s}ubject-imperfective construction describing a statement of fact or a generally true statement. The abstract noun عقوب “thirst” is derived from the verb عقوب “thirsty.”

\[iw \text{ mhw } r \text{ m } 3sw \text{ smn.f } ib\]

The circumstantial \text{ iw.f sdm.f/s}ubject-imperfective construction continues. The noun عقوب “filling” is derived from the verb عقوب “fill.” (Following Gardiner, the original is emended to عقوب as this is masculine.) ظم r can be considered as a direct genitive “mouthful,” lit. “filling of the mouth.” The entire phrase ظم r 3sw “a mouthful of herbs” is the topicalized subject referred to by the following suffix pronoun 3f.

---

21 See the 3rd footnote in the introductory text.
22 The circumstantial \text{ sdm.f} \text{ form, Hoch (§29), in the Standard Theory corresponds to Allen’s imperfective. See also Loprieno, op. cit. 4.6.3.1(b), p. 79.}
23 In the Standard Theory, this is considered as a variation of the noun + circumstantial \text{ sdm.f} \text{ construction, Hoch (§31); in Allen’s terminology, this is the subject-imperfective construction.}
Note also that ⬇️ smn “fix, set, establish” is a prime example of a causative derived from the verb ⬇️ mn “become fixed, set.”

 iw nfrt idn.(s) bw-nfr iw nh(i) n ktt idn.(f) wr

These two symmetrically arranged clauses still contain circumstantial iw.f sd.m.f/subject-imperfective constructions with rare exceptions: omitted suffix pronouns. In the first clause the preposed subject is nfrt, a feminine singular adjective used as noun, expressing quality of a “thing,” in fact, a“(single) good thing.”

The object bw-nfr “goodness” is an example how ⬇️ bw “place, position” prefixed to an adjective converts the adjective to a noun. Further examples include ⬇️ bw-m3 “truth” and ⬇️ bw-dw “evil.” The topicalized subject in the second clause is nhi n ktt “a trifle something” and the object is wr which can be translated as “much.”

 hz pw hnt n ht.f sw3 tr

This is an A pw B nominal sentence. ⬇️ hnt is an active participle “he who is greedy” of the verb ⬇️ hnt “be greedy.” With this the phrase hnt n ht.f can be rendered as “he who is greedy for his belly.”

The text from sw3 tr to the end of the next line is difficult. Federn and subsequently Lichtheim24 consider sw3 tr as an adverb clause of time; as such it can therefore be introduced by “when.” According to this interpretation, tr is the time period for the course of a meal, and the meaning of the sentence is that the chowhound is rude by not observing the end of the meal time. Gardiner first translates sw3 tr as “time passes.” In his subsequent article however he admits that this is wrong but also disagrees with Federn. He makes the point that tr does not refer to a time period but to “the right time, the fitting season, not the time considered as a stretch.”

24 Federn, Lichtheim, op.cit.
One further problem with the Federn/Lichtheim interpretation is that it forces the perfect\(^{25}\) (which should be used for completed action) of the transitive verb \(smh\) to be translated as present tense “he forgets.” Following Gardiner, \(sw3\ tr\) should be at the beginning of this sentence with the perfect of \(smh\) rendered as English perfect tense “time passes and he has forgotten.” After this problem with the tenses, Lichtheim’s translation agrees with Gardiner’s. The circumstantial \(sdm.f/imperfective\) of \(wstn\) with its subject \(ht\) clearly means that “the belly roams,” but the twist comes viewing \(m\) as a nisbe \((i)m(i)\) and \(.sn\) as a resumptive coreferent.\(^{26}\) With these, \((i)m(i)\ pr.sn\) in English means “(those) in whose house.”

Proper table manners are further emphasized in this conditional sentence employing the subjunctive \(sdm.f\) of \(hmsi\) and (possibly) \(wnm\). The form of the intransitive verb \(sw3\ “pass”\) in the adverb clause \(3hf.f\ sw3.(w)\) is stative expressing the state of the chowhound after he ate his fill and his greed is over. (The missing stative suffix is present in the next similarly constructed sentence.) This adverb clause is unmarked (only the context tells that it is not an independent sentence) and the subordination can be indicated in English by using the connecting word “when.” The subject \(3hf.f\) of the stative is topicalized (fronted). This is a typical example of a subject-stative construction\(^{27}\) in an adverb clause.

Once again this is a typical conditional sentence introduced by \(ir\). As before, the predicates of the protasis and the apodosis are the subjunctive \(sdm.f\) of \(swr\) and \(szp\). The only function of the initial particle \(iw\) is to mark the beginning of the last clause that can be considered as circumstantial “when his heart…” or a result clause “so that/and his

---

\(^{25}\) The circumstantial \(sdm.n.f\) of the Standard Theory. See Allen (18) and Loprieno, 4.6.3.1(a). (Note that in Loprieno the perfect and perfect relative forms are discussed together as the basic preterital forms.)

\(^{26}\) As clarified by B. Gunn; cf. JEA 36 (1950) 112.

\(^{27}\) Allen (17.9).
“heart…” (although adverb clauses rarely contain topicalized subjects and even less likely an introductory particle). It contains another subject-stative construction with the stative of the adjective-verb \( htp \).\(^{28}\) The “result” of the prior action \( \$zp.k \) is \( ib.f \ htp.w \).

\[ m \ 3dw \ r \ iw f \ r-gs \ skn \]

The affirmative tone turns now to negative employing the negative imperative \( m \) along with the negatival complement of \( 3d \). The many meanings of the preposition \( r \) allow two interpretations of \( m \ 3dw \ r \ iw f \). On the one hand, it could caution not to speak aggressively against the eating of meat,\(^{29}\) and on the other, it could caution not to savagely grab the meat.\(^{30}\)

\[ \$zp \ dit.f \ n.k \ m \ win \ st \ k3 \ ssft \ pw \]

The imperative of \( \$zp \) is followed by \( dit.f \) “what he gives,” a perfective relative form of \( di \). Gardiner\(^{31}\) emends into \( \). After the negative imperative, the clause introduced by the particle \( k3 \) “then” contains an \( A \ pw \) nominal sentence with \( A \) being the infinitive \( ssft \) of the caus. 2-lit verb \( ssf \). Note that \( k3 \) is normally followed by subjunctive \( sdm.f \), expressing future consequence.

\[ ir \ \$ww \ m \ sr f \ n \ t \ ni \ shm \ n \ mdt \ nb t \ im.f \]

Unlike the previous conditional sentences, \( ir \) here has a different function: it introduces the long topicalized subject \( \$ww \ m \ sr f \ n \ t \) and as such it is usually translated using the words “as for.” In the subject \( \$ww \) is a perfective active participle (with \( w \) ending, not uncommon in compounds\(^{32}\)) used as a noun. The entire topicalized subject has the literal meaning: “one who is free of blame in matters of (lit. toward) food.”

As before, \( t \) is elevated from “bread,” in fact, Gardiner emends the long lacuna

---

\(^{28}\) See Gardiner’s different approaches in the first and second/third edition of his Egyptian Grammar, and also Footnote 7 on p. 73 in JEA 32 (1946).

\(^{29}\) Federn, Gardiner, op.cit.

\(^{30}\) Scharff, Lichtheim, op.cit.

\(^{31}\) Originally suggested by Sethe and Scharff.

\(^{32}\) See Gardiner §359.
here as 𓊫. The negative particle *ni* negates the noun phrase *shm n mdt nbt* “power of any word.” In the adverbial predicate *im.f*, with a bit of flexibility, the prepositional adverb *im* should be taken to mean “over,” and the suffix pronoun refers back to the topicaized subject.

\[ \text{hrr n hr r df3-ib} \]

According to Gardiner, since the phonetic value of 𓎃 is 𓎄, the original 𓎅 𓎆 needs to be emended into 𓎈 𓎅. Nonetheless 𓎈 and also 𓎆 are unknown words. Gardiner who suggests that 𓎈 𓎆 dfβ-ib may mean “stolid,” translates this sentence as “the face is powerless over against one stolid,” but admits that this is a guess.

Federn has an interesting attempt (supported by examples of the word *hwr r* from the Pyramid Texts and the Book of the Dead) to revert back to 𓎈, suggesting this to be the stative of an adjective-verb describing the quality of a calf. He therefore proposes this to be translated as “meek” and the rest as “to the degree of slow-wittedness.” The complexity of the argument and the lack of precise grammatical information make this argument difficult to accept.\(^{33}\)

\[ \text{im3 n.f k3hs r mwt.f} \]

This is an adjectival sentence in which, following Middle Egyptian word order, the dative *n.f* is placed in front of the nominal subject. Gardiner goes into great detail in proving that the adjectival predicate *im3 (iβm)* “gentle” is the exact opposite to the subject *k3hs* “harsh,” the latter being another adjective used as a noun here.

The comparative *r* allows two interpretations of the literal translation: “the harsh one is gentle to him with respect to his mother” depending on whether or not the preposition “to” is employed (and depending on whose mother is being referred to): “the harsh is

\(^{33}\) Barns, in JEA 58 (1972) p. 160, has yet another interpretation: *hr tr n hr r dfβ-ib*: “So will there be personal respect toward him who is of a satisfied disposition? Here 𓎈 is a particle introducing an adverbial sentence, and 𓎄 𓎈 is literally “respect of face.”
kinder to him than (to) his mother.” Finally, note the unusual spelling of mwt “mother.”

mrw.f pw bw-nb

After all the grammatical hurdles, it is a relief to translate this simple A pw B nominal sentence, meaning “B is A.” The noun phrase bw-nb is an Egyptian idiom for “everyone.”

imi pr rn.k iw gr.k m r.k nis.t(w).k

The sentence starts with a typical rdi + subjunctive sDm.f construction. In this construction, rdi “give, put, let, cause” itself can appear in any verb form and here it is the imperative: imi spelled as. (For example, in line 3 below, the same construction appears with rdi in perfect.) This is a very common use of the subjunctive and has causative meaning.34 It is followed by an adverb clause introduced by iw. An obvious connecting word between the two clauses is “while.” The verb form of gr “be silent” is circumstantial/imperfective sDm.f expressing concomitant action.

As for the last clause, Gardiner puts it at the end of the current passage, and interprets the verb form of nis as “call, summon” in subjunctive sDm.f to express result: “so that you may be summoned” (to high office or court). Lichtheim puts this clause at the beginning of the next passage, translating it as: “when you are summoned…”

m c3 ib.k hr hpš m hr(i)-ib d3mw.k

z3w itn(w).k

The negative imperative m rules out the (tempting) consideration of c3 ib as a nfr hr

34 Hoch (§75, 1) and Allen (19.10).
construction meaning “high-minded, proud,” lit. “big of heart”. In fact, here "ib is an adjective-verb with subject ib.k, lit. “do not let your heart get big because of/on account of your strength…” hri ib is an Egyptian idiom for “middle, midst” and d3mw refers to the company of young men surrounding the one cautioned.

Based on its meaning, z3w should always be considered as imperative, although the final radical w is a bit misplaced.\(^{35}\) Followed by one of the six sdm.f forms it is usually translated as: “take care not to, make sure you do not” and the bit archaic “beware lest, guard.” Here it is followed by the passive of itn.

\[
\text{ni rh.n.tw hprt irrt ntr hft hsf.f}
\]

Power of the divine is recalled here in a textbook example of a negated perfect of the verb rh “know” with the impersonal pronoun tw as a verbal suffix. The negated perfect does not mean completed action here, but expresses lack of ability. The first object is the active participle hprt “what (may) happen,” and the second is the imperfective relative form irrt (ntr) “what (god) does.”

Finally, in this complex sentence, the preposition hft “according to/in accordance with” governs the (nominal) non-attributive perfective relative form of the verb hsf as it has an expressed subject, its suffix pronoun f (referring to ntr) “how he punishes.” (The infinitive as the object of a preposition usually appears without an expressed subject.)

\[
\text{rdi.n 3tì nis.t(w) nìy.f n hrdw}
\]

As noted above, this is once again a rdi + subjunctive sdm.f construction with the perfect of rdi, lit. “has given that one summon.” The possessives p3y/t3y + suffix + masculine/feminine noun; nìy + suffix + n + plural noun were developed in the spoken language during the Middle Kingdom and the Second Intermediate Period.

\[
\text{m hì ‘rk.f shì r(m)ì bit.sn m ît hr.f}
\]

The object of the compound preposition m hì “after,” lit. “in the wake” is the (nominal)

\(^{35}\) Gardiner (§338, 3) and Hoch (§99).
non-attributive perfective relative form of ʿrk as it has a (suffixxed) pronominal subject. ʿrk refers to completion, gaining full knowledge. The infinitive (iit) appears after m whose use is to emphasize concomitant actions: his understanding the nature of men and their characters becoming clear to him, lit. “having come upon him.”

The introductory word dr.n followed by the perfect is rare,36 but grammatically similar to the very common construction ḫ.n sdm.n.f. The 2-lit. verb ḫ means “end (up),” so that dr.n literally means “ended” and can be translated as “in the end.” Once again ir “as for” introduces a long topicalized element, this time the object of the following imperative of sdm. This object ntt nbt m ḡḥ p ṣḏw “all that (lit. of what) is written in the papyrus-scroll” is referred back to by the dependent pronoun st. Within the object the (feminine) relative adjective ntt is used as a noun with the primary modifier nbt and the object of the preposition m is the passive participle of the verb ḡḥ “write” once again used as a noun.

The entire clause starts the vizier’s communication to his children. In the adverbial adjunct, the object of the preposition mi is the perfective relative form of dd as it has an expressed subject.

As Gardiner noted, in the negative imperative introduced by m the determinative of zni needs to be changed to . Finally, ḥṭt is the (feminine) geminated perfective passive participle of the 2-lit.verb ḥḥ. As such, it can be translated as “what has been ordained.”

Filial piety, the virtue of loving and respecting the parents, is emphasized here in two syntactically adverbial clauses containing pseudo-verbal ḫr + infinitive constructions. In

---

36 Gardiner (§483).
narrative texts "ḥ".n and wn.in are typical words to introduce pseudo-verbal predicates. In these constructions they no longer have their original meanings, merely express subsequent actions, and as such they can be translated using “then.” Note also the different singular and plural meanings of st. In the direct relative clause governed by the preposition mi, the feminine form of the relative adjective nti is used as a noun: “that which exists,” and the entire (adverb) clause ntt m zḥ3 serves as the object of mi.

wn.in nfr st ḫr ib.sn r ḫt nbt nti m tẖ pn r dr.f

wn.in here introduces an adjectival sentence “thereupon it was good…” The sentence contains a textbook example of the comparative r ḫt nbt “beyond anything,” lit. “with respect to everything.”

The relative adjective nti introduces a direct relative clause which contains the frequently used apparent adjective, the prepositional phrase, r dr “entire,” lit. “to the limit.” The latter is used in the Egyptian idiom tẖ pn r dr.f “this entire land” with the suffix pronoun ḫ referring to tẖ pn.

wn.in ḥ”.sn hms.sn ḫft

After wn.in the indicative/perfective sdm.f 37 of the intransitive verbs of motion ḥ” and hmsi are used. This is not very common, and most often the perfect is used instead. Due to its position, it is clear that the preposition ḫft is employed here adverbially, meaning “accordingly.” This strange sentence is a metaphor and stands for: “to conduct themselves.” Spell 101 in the Coffin Texts has similar line:

ḥ”.f hms.f ḫft.f.38

ḥ”.n hm n (n)swt-bit(i) HWNI mini.n.f

This is a reference to the end of the reign of Huni (Nysuteh, 2637-2613 BC), last king of the 3rd Dynasty. Note also that the pharaoh’s name is topicalized for emphasis, and in the

37 Allen’s perfective corresponds to the indicative sdm.f in the Standard Theory.
38 CT II 99a-b G2T.
perfect, the suffix pronoun \textit{f} refers to it.

\begin{align*}
\text{aHa.n s\text{\textsuperscript{e}h\text{\textsuperscript{e}}} \text{hm nsw(t)-biti SNFRW m nswt mn\text{\textsuperscript{h}} m t3 pn r dr.f}}
\end{align*}

The verb form of \textit{s\text{\textsuperscript{e}h\text{\textsuperscript{e}}}} is clearly passive. Sneferu (2613-2589 BC), the first king of the 4\textsuperscript{th} Dynasty, was the first true (smooth sided and east-west aligned) pyramid builder. He was the father of Khufu, the largest pyramid builder in Egypt. Sneferu had two pyramids constructed at Dashur (the Bent Pyramid and the Red Pyramid), and at Meidum. The etymology of his name, the causative \textit{snfrw} “to make beautiful, to make good,” suggests that he may have been a benign ruler. Notice the peculiar writing \begin{align*}
\text{with biti, a sportive dual. The best known example for a sportive dual is NB-PHTI-R\textit{c} the throne name of Ahmose I. Alternatively, the two t’s in the usual arrangement \hfill may have been stacked up at the end.}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{aHa.n rdi kAgmni r (i)m(i)-r nwt TAti}
\end{align*}

The passive of \textit{rdi} is introduced by \textit{aHa.n}. Note that \textit{rdi} with the preposition \textit{r} (or \textit{m}) means “to appoint to” an office/position. The position in question is \textit{(i)m(i)-r nwt} (a reverse nisbe), the major or overseer of the town.

\begin{align*}
\text{iw.f pw}
\end{align*}

The closing A \textit{pw} nominal sentence contains the imperfective relative form of \textit{iw} used non-attributively as the predicate: “that is how it goes,” lit. “it is that it comes.”